



BEFORE THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN, HARYANA  
Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission

Bays No. 33 - 36, Sector - 4, Panchkula-134109  
Phone: 0172-2572299; Fax No. 0172-2572359  
Website: - herc.gov.in E-mail: eo.herc@nic.in

(Regd. Post)

Appeal No. : 06/2019  
Date of Institution : 06.03.2019  
Date of hearing : 08.04.2019  
Date of Order : 08.04.2019

In the matter of:

Appeal against the order of CGRF, UHBVN, Kurukshetra, dated 26.2.2019, in case No. 113/2018

Sh. Naresh Kumar Kaushik, H.No.70/1/11, Street No.1, Dayanand Nagar, Bahadurgarh

Appellant/Applicant

V/s

UHBVNL & Others

Respondents

Present:

Mrs. Surbhi Jain, Electricity Ombudsman

On behalf of Appellant/Applicant

Sh. Naresh Kumar Kaushik.

On behalf of respondent:

Shri Umed Singh SDO City 2 Bahadurgarh

**ORDER**

The hearing was held today as scheduled. During the hearing, the respondent SDO submitted the para-wise reply of the appeal, a copy of which was provided to the appellant, for submitting rejoinder. The appellant submitted rejoinder which has been taken on record during the hearing.

Sh. Naresh Kumar Kaushik, resident of Bahadurgarh, has appealed against the order dated 26.2.2019 of CGRF, UHBVNL, Kurukshetra in case No. 113/2018. The appellant has submitted that: -

1. I hereby bring into your kind notice that the distance between street light installed on the pole and the street light installed on the front grill of my house is more than 20 feet and not 5 feet as claimed by SDO. The purpose of installing street light by Municipal Committee, Bahadurgarh on the front grill of my house is to enlighten the disputed vacant plot of approx. 1 acre area, next to my house, which is full of trees and buses. The said vacant plot has not a concrete made road and many people come across it during day and night. Municipal Committee Ballabgarh is constituted for the society and city welfare and they did it so. This fact can be rechecked with area Municipal Councillor.
2. The second point has no concern to me.
3. Birbal Sharma sold this house to Rajinder Sharma on 12.3.1996. Rajinder sold this house to Rajesh Sharma and Rajesh Sharma sold it to me. So, we are using this connection since last 20 years. Regarding payment of Rs.18678/- under scheme, I settled the payment of bill because the bill amount was accumulating month by month, which was not favorable for me. So, I opted for the scheme to ease my burden and waited for the decision of CGRF.

The SDO is right to say that two or three theft cases were made on that day, because those people were taking light directly and the second wire was quite clear without any reason and the meter was stopped. It was not in our case because our meter was slow not stopped

As per the documents available on record, it is observed that the CGRF, UHBVNL, vide order 26.02.2019, disposed off the representation of the appellant and operative part of the decision is as under:

After going through the record/documents placed on record and detailed discussions held during the proceedings, the Forum has arrived at a decision that the complainant has been found to be established doing the theft of energy and assessment stands made as per Nigam's instruction. Moreover, the complainant has

made the payment to the tune of Rs.18,678/- on dated 31.12.2018 vide RO-4 No. 683/29 against the Bill Settlement Scheme, as such the case is disposed off.

**Upon consideration of the fact of the matter, the submission of the appellant and reply of the respondent, undersigned finds no grounds for interfering with the decision of CGRF against which the appeal has been preferred. Accordingly, the appeal filed by the appellant is rejected. However, the appellant is at liberty to take up the matter with the appropriate authority, as per provision in the Electricity Act, 2003.**

The file may be consigned to the record.

Given under my hand on this day of 8<sup>th</sup> April 2019

Sd/-

(Surbhi Jain)

Electricity Ombudsman, Haryana

**Dated: 08.04.2019**

**Endstt. No. HERC/EO/Appeal No.6/2019/5385-91**

**Dated: - 10.4.2019**

A copy of the above is forwarded to the following:

1. The Managing Director, UHBVNL, Vidyut Sadan, Sector-6 Panchkula.
2. The Legal Remembrancer, HVPNL, Shakti Bhawan, Sector-6, Panchkula.
3. The Chief Engineer 'OP' UHBVNL, Vidyut Bhawan, Rohtak.
4. The Chairman, CGRF, UHBVNL, Sector 8, Kurukshetra,
5. The Superintending Engineer 'OP', Circle UHBVNL, Jhajjar.
6. The Executive Engineer 'OP' Divn., UHBVNL, Bahadurgarh.
7. The SDO 'Op' City Sub Divn. No.2, UHBVNL, Bahadurgarh