

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**BAYS No. 33-36, SECTOR-4, PANCHKULA- 134112, HARYANA**

**Case No. HERC/PRO – 38 of 2017**

DATE OF HEARING : 02.04.2019

DATE OF ORDER : 08.05.2019

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

Petition seeking approval of the Hon'ble Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission under Regulation 3.2.2 of the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Electricity Supply Code) Regulations, 2014 dated 08.01.2014.

**Petitioner**

M/s Singhania Finishers, Panipat

**V/s.**

**Respondent**

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Panchkula

**PRESENT**

On behalf of the Petitioner:

Shri R.K. Jain, Advisor/Legal & Power

On behalf of the Respondent:

1. Shri Sanjeev Gupta, S.E. (RA) UHBVNL
2. Shri Manoj Garg, XEN, UHBVNL
3. Shri Vinay Baranwal, Xen RA UHBVNL

**QUORUM**

**Shri Jagjeet Singh, Chairman**

**Shri Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Member**

**ORDER**

1. The Petitioner has submitted that Respondent has already extended their contract demand from 199 kVA to 299 kVA in January, 2017 and intends to further enhance the load to 1000 KW. To get the reliable power supply they have requested the Respondent Nigam to release the extended load on 33 kV instead of 11 kV from existing 33 kV Untla feeder emanating from 400 kV substation Sewah. Regarding technical feasibility, he submitted that at present 17 industrial

consumer are being fed from 33 kV Untla feeder and after their enhanced load the total load on the feeder would be 165 Amps against current carrying capacity of 305 Amps.

2. The Commission observes that the Petitioner has deposited petition fee of Rs. 10,000/- against Rs. 35,000/-. So, the petitioner was directed to deposit the balance amount of fee of Rs. 25,000/- within 7 days vide its letter Memo No. 1957/HERC/PRO-38 of 2017 dated 31/08/2017 so as to further process the matter.
3. During the hearing held on 02/04/2019, the Commission enquired from Sh. R.K. Jain, Counsel appearing on the behalf of the petitioner whether the balance fee has been deposited with the Commission or not. He submitted that the requisite fee of Rs. 10,000/- prevalent at the time of filing the Petition has already been deposited.
4. On pursuance the matter further, the Commission observed that the Petition was filed through 232/FTMS on 1/05/2017 before the Commission. The Commission issued *Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Fee) Regulations, 2005, 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment Regulations, 2016* which was notified on 28/02/2017. In the aforesaid Regulations, it is indicated that Fee for the Petition filed by Institution/ Association/ Organization/ Company (Limited, Private Limited, Partnership) Proprietorship/ Trust/Societies shall be Rs. 35,000/- whereas the petitioner deposited only Rs. 10000/-. Accordingly, the Commission vide its Memo No. 5403/HERC/Technical dated 12/04/2019 again directed the Petitioner to deposit the balance Rs. 25,000/- within 7 (seven) days of the issuance of the said letter, failing which the Commission will dispose of the matter accordingly. Also, a copy of the said letter was e-mailed to Sh. R. K. Jain, Counsel on 15/04/2019. However, the Petitioner has not responded.
5. The Commission observes that despite it's notices, the Petitioner has failed to deposit the requisite fee for filling the Petition. Therefore, the Commission feels that the Petitioner is no more interested in proceeding further this matter.

6. Therefore, the Petition is dismissed.

This Order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 08/05/2019.

**Date: 08.05.2019**

**(Pravindra Singh Chauhan)**

**(Jagjeet Singh)**

**Place: Panchkula**

**Member**

**Chairman**