

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION AT PANCHKULA**

**Case No. HERC/Review Petition No. 7 of 2025**

**And**

**HERC/Petition No. 20 of 2026**

**(Remand back Petition No. HERC/Petition No. 69 of 2024 & 59 of 2020)**

**Date of Hearing : 14.05.2026**

**Date of Interim Order : 15.05.2026**

**In the matter of:**

**Review Petition under section 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003, read with regulations 57 of the HERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019, seeking review of order dated 12.08.2025 passed by in Petition No. 69 of 2024 (Review Petition No. 7 of 2025).**

**AND**

**Judgement dated 13.02.2026 passed by Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No. 362 of 2025 (HERC/Petition No. 20 of 2026).**

**AND**

**In the matter of**

**Petition under section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 6 (1) of the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff from Renewable Energy Sources, Renewable Purchase Obligation and Renewable Energy Certificate) Regulations, 2017 for determination of Tariff of 50 MW Power Project of Amplus Sun Solutions Private Limited located at village Khanak, Tehsil, Tosham, District Bhiwani (HERC/ Petition No. Petition No. 69 of 2024 and 59 of 2020).**

**Review Applicant (RA-7 of 2025)**

**Haryana Power Purchase Centre, Panchkula (HPPC)**

**Respondents**

- 1. M/s. Amplus Sun Solutions Pvt. Ltd (Amplus)**
- 2. Haryana Renewable Energy Development Agency (HAREDA)**

**AND**

**Petitioner (HERC/Petition No. 20 of 2026, in the remand back Petition No. 69 of 2024 and 59 of 2020).**

**M/s. Amplus Sun Solutions Pvt. Ltd (Amplus)**

**Respondents**

- 1. Haryana Power Purchase Centre, Panchkula (HPPC)**
- 2. Haryana Renewable Energy Development Agency (HAREDA)**

**Present On behalf of the Petitioner (Amplus)**

- 1. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Advocate, R-1**
- 2. Mr. Aditya Ajay, Advocate, R-1**

**Present on behalf of the Review Applicant in RA-7 of 2025 and Respondents in Petition No. 26 of 2026, 69 of 2024 and 59 of 2020)**

- 1. Ms. Reeha Singh, Advocate, HPPC**
- 2. Ms. Shree Dwivedi, Advocate, HPPC**
- 3. Mr. Gauav Gupta, Xen, HPPC**

**Quorum**

**Shri Nand Lal Sharma  
Shri Mukesh Garg  
Shri Shiv Kumar**

**Chairman  
Member  
Member**

## INTERIM ORDER

1. The case (s) was called for hearing on 14.05.2026, as scheduled, in the court room of the Commission.
2. At the outset, the Commission takes note of the judgement of the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity (APTEL) dated 13.02.2026 (Appeal No. 362 of 2025), whereby the order of this Commission dated 12.08.2025 (Petition No 69 of 2024) was remand back for redetermination of tariff. The operative part of the order is as under:-

*“(i) For the foregoing reasons as stated above, the Appeal No. 362 of 2025 is **allowed to the extent of the following issues** being remanded to the HERC, and the Impugned Order is set aside only insofar as it relates to these issues:*

  - (a) **Determination of appropriate DC:AC ratio**, with the option to carry out a simulation study with appropriate parameters, if necessary, through an independent expert. This appropriate DC:AC ratio shall be used for determination of appropriate capital cost, which in turn shall be used for determination of tariff.*
  - (b) **Inclusion of capital cost on transmission infrastructure** up to Inter-connection Point after prudence check. This additional cost shall also be used for determination of tariff.*

*(ii) The Basis for Project Management Expenses, as determined, shall continue to apply notwithstanding any revision of the Capital Cost pursuant to the fresh remand proceedings. However, we give liberty to the HERC to arrive at a **different percentage of Project Management Expenses** for transmission infrastructure by a reasoned order.*

*(iii) A pro-tem tariff of ₹ 2.68 per kWh from the date of this judgement shall be payable till the matter is finally decided upon remand, which needs to be decided expeditiously by the HERC.*

*(iv) As per settled principle, carrying cost will be payable on the differential amount i.e. difference between the tariff determined consequent to tariff determined by the HERC after conclusion of the remand proceeding consequent to this judgment and the tariff actually paid so far, subject to the adjustments already made so far on this account.”*
3. Upon hearing the parties, the Commission directs HPPC as well as the petitioner to hold a meeting and arrive at a consensus on the name of the independent agency to carry out the simulation study to determine the appropriate DC:AC ratio required for achieving the claimed CUF of 25.91%, along with annual degradation of 0.50%, within one week from the date of issue of this order. In case, no such consensus is arrived at, both the parties shall file the name of independent agencies recommended by them, in the Commission within two days thereafter, to enable the Commission to select the agency. A committee, comprising of one representative each, not below the rank of Xen, of HPPC as well as

the generator, shall be constituted, to provide necessary assistance to the agency as well as supervise its work.

4. The case shall be next heard on 26.05.2026.

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 15.05.2026.

**Date:15.05.2026**  
**Place: Panchkula**

**Sd/-**  
**(Shiv Kumar)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Mukesh Garg)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Nand Lal Sharma)**  
**Chairman**

**HERC**