

BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION AT PANCHKULA

Case No. HERC/Petition No. 81 OF 2025

(Remand Back Petition No.: HERC/PRO 33 of 2015

and HERC/PRO 35 of 2015)

Date of Hearing : 09.04.2026

Date of Interim Order : 09.04.2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Judgement dated 12.08.2025 passed by Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No. 82 of 2017 (Faridabad Industries Association Vs. HERC and Ors).

And

In the matter of

Petition for True-up of the ARR for the FY 2014-15, Annual (Mid-Year) Performance Review for the FY 2015-16 and determination of Revised ARR and Distribution and Retail supply tariff for the FY 2016-17 for Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitaran Nigam Limited (UHBVNL) and Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitaran Nigam Limited (DHBVNL), under the provisions of the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff for Distribution & Retail Supply under Multi Year Tariff Framework) Regulations, 2012, read with section 45, 46, 47, 61, 62, 64 & 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Petitioner

1. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. (DHBVNL)
2. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. (UHBVNL)
3. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd. (HVPNL)

Respondent

1. M/s. Faridabad Industries Association (FIA)

Present on behalf of the Petitioners

1. Mr. Tushar Mathur, Advocate, DHBVNL and UHBVNL
2. Ms. Aeirka Singh, Advocate, HVPNL
3. Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate, HVPNL
4. Mr. Tarsem Rana, Advocate, HVPNL
5. Mr. Vinod, XEN/RA, UHBVNL
6. Ms. Pushpa, AE/RA, UHBVNL
7. Ms. Kusum Lata, SDO/RA, DHBVNL

Present On behalf of the Respondent

1. Mr. Amit Sharma, M/s. FIA

Quorum

**Shri Nand Lal Sharma
Shri Mukesh Garg
Shri Shiv Kumar**

**Chairman
Member
Member**

INTERIM ORDER

1. The case was heard on 09.04.2026, as scheduled, in the court room of the Commission.

2. At the outset, Mr. Tushar Mathur, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner no. 1 & 2 (DHBVNL and UHBVNL) prayed to grant time of four months to enable them to chalk out the next course of action in consultation with HVPNL.
3. Ms. Aerika Singh, the learned counsel appearing for petitioner no. 3 (HVPNL) submitted that its current metering is limited to interface points with DISCOMs and generators; and in the absence of dedicated CT/PTs at its substations, computation of voltage-wise transmission losses is not possible for past period (FY 2016-17). The computation of voltage-wise transmission loss at 66 KV and above warrants installation of dedicated metering CTs/PTs (more than 440 nos.) at various voltage levels across HVPNL substations, which will take around two years.
4. The Commission has taken note of the practical difficulty cited by the petitioners (DHBVNL, UHBVNL and HVPNL) in calculating consumer-category-wise and voltage-wise losses for the FY 2016-17 due to non-availability of the data. The petitioners have further apprised the Commission that HVPNL was not a party in the appeal before Hon'ble APTEL; therefore, could not avail the opportunity to present their difficulty cited above and they may explore alternative remedies before Hon'ble APTEL.
5. Upon hearing the parties, the Commission directs the petitioners (DHBVNL, UHBVNL and HVPNL) to take the necessary action to resolve the issues expeditiously and file the action taken report within two months from the date of issue of this order. The petitioners may also study the practice being followed in other States.
6. The case to come up for hearing on 08.07.2026.

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 09.04.2026.

Date: 09.04.2026	Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Place: Panchkula	(Shiv Kumar)	(Mukesh Garg)	(Nand Lal Sharma)
	Member	Member	Chairman