

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION AT  
PANCHKULA**

**Case No. HERC/P. No. 31 of 2025**

**Date of Hearing : 03/02/2026**

**Date of Order : 19/02/2026**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Petition Under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with clause 4.8.3 of HERC (Duty to supply electricity on request, Power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and Power to require security) Regulations, 2016, clause 3 and 28 of DHBVN'S sales circular no. D-05/2023 dated 04/01/2023.**

**Petitioner**

1. TRL Riceland Private Limited, 42<sup>nd</sup> k.m. Stone, nh-48, sector-76, Gurugram 122004, Haryana Through Shri Chandra Prakash Jangir.
2. Jan Priya Buildestate Private limited, Flat no. 41, Aurobindo Apartment Adhchini, South Delhi, New Delhi 110016, Through Shri Sanjay Sahrawat.

**VERSUS**

**Respondents:**

1. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar.
2. Chief Engineer / Operation, Delhi Zone, DHBVN, Gurugram
3. Superintending Engineer / Operation, Circle-I, DHBVN, Gurugram
4. Executive Engineer / Operation, Manesar division, DHBVN, Gurugram
5. SDO / Operation, Kherki Daula Subdivision, DHBVN, Gurugram

**Impleaded:**

MAPSKO Builders Private Limited, 6th Floor, No.1 Golf Course Road, Sector-56, Gurgaon

**Present**

**On behalf of the Petitioner**

1. Sh. B. P. Agarwal, Advocate
2. Sh. Chandra Prakash, CS, TRL

**On behalf of the Respondent**

1. Ms. Aerika Singh, Advocate for DHBVN
2. Sh. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate
3. Sh. Akshay Gupta, Advocate for MAPSCO

**QUORUM**

**Shri Nand Lal Sharma, Chairman  
Shri Mukesh Garg, Member  
Shri Shiv Kumar, Member**

## **INTERIM ORDER**

1. The case was heard on 03/02/2026, as scheduled, in the court room of the Commission.
2. At the outset, Sh. B.P. Agarwal counsel for the petitioner advanced his arguments and submitted that the respondent-DHBVN has released the connection of MAPSKO by solid tapping of their 33-kV cable in complete violations of regulations in vogue.
3. To the query of the Commission the counsel for the respondent – DHBVN submitted that the supply to MAPSKO was given 2.5 years back as a stop gap arrangement and its likely to continue for another 2 years. Further, MAPSKO has deposited all the dues/cost at the time of release of connection and no share cost has been reimbursed to petitioner till date.
4. Sh. Akshay Gupta counsel for MAPSKO submitted that they are ready to pay any share cost along with maintenance charges to the petitioner subject to adjustment of the same in cost deposited to DHBVN.
5. Sh. B. P. Agarwal refused to accept any share cost / compensation offered by MAPSKO and insisted for disconnection of the MAPSKO supply from their feeder.
6. The Commission noted with concern despite recovery of cost of infrastructure from MAPSKO no permanent solution to the issue has been worked out till date and power is being evacuated for MAPSKO from the feeder which was for exclusive use of petitioner as per regulations. The alleged stop gap arrangement is continuing from last 2.5 years which is expected to last for another two years. Such a long period cannot be a stop gap arrangement.
7. Ms. Aerika Singh contested the directions of the Commission calling concerned SE/OP with record on next date of hearing.
8. The Commission viewed that the presence of SE is required to explain the issues for not resolving the matter for such a long time, therefore, adjourns the matter and directs concerned SE/OP to appear with all records on next date of hearing. The arguments will continue on the next date in presence of concerned officers.
9. The matter to come up next on 24/04/2026

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 19/02/2026.

<b>Date: 19/02/2026</b>	Sd/- <b>(Shiv Kumar)</b>	Sd/- <b>(Mukesh Garg)</b>	Sd/- <b>(Nand Lal Sharma)</b>
<b>Place: Panchkula</b>	<b>Member</b>	<b>Member</b>	<b>Chairman</b>