

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**BAYS No. 33-36, SECTOR-4, PANCHKULA- 134112, HARYANA**

**Case No. HERC/PRO – 30 of 2019**

DATE OF HEARING : 18.06.2019

DATE OF ORDER : 19.06.2019

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

Petition under Regulation 5.5 of HERC Single Point Supply Regulation 2013 read with Section 94 of Electricity Act 2003 & HERC Supply Code 2014 seeking direction for restraining the private respondents from charging beyond applicable electricity tariff and further direction for refunding the excess recovered amount alongwith interest to petitioner which has been illegally recovered by respondent No. 1 to 3 and also further Directions to Respondent no. 1 to 3 to obtain permanent electricity connection from the respondent DHBVNL at the earliest and at the same time directing the respondent DHBVNL to ensure compliance of regulation 4.5.2 of HERC Supply Code from Respondent no.1 to 3.

**Petitioner**

Kapil Sethi R/o Paras Irene, Flat No 1003,  
Tower N-7, Gurugram & Others

**V/s.**

**Respondent**

1. The Managing Director, Paras Buildtech India Private Limited, Gurugram
2. Classic Infra solutions Private Limited, New Delhi
3. The Managing Director, Paras RE Facilities Management Pvt. Ltd., Gurugram
4. The Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

**PRESENT**

On behalf of the Petitioner:

1. Shri Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate

On behalf of the Respondent:

1. Shri Ashutosh, XEN DHBVN
2. Smt. Rekha, XEN (RA), DHBVN

## **QUORUM**

**Shri Jagjeet Singh, Chairman**

**Shri Pravindra Singh, Member**

**Shri Naresh Sardana, Member**

## **INTERIM ORDER**

1. The case was heard on 18/06/2019, as scheduled. Representatives of all the parties were present during the hearing.
2. The Ld. Counsel appeared on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that Respondents No. 1,2 & 3 are violating various provisions of HERC Single Point Supply Regulation 2013 and are levying Fixed Charges, Non-Telescopic Tariff, Maintenance Charges and Service Charges in the electricity bills of the consumers. The Commission observed from the documents placed on record by the Petitioner that though the said charges are indicated in the bill but the same has not been paid by the consumer. Therefore, the Petitioner was directed to submit the recent bills along-with the payment receipts.
3. The temporary electricity connection was released by DHBVN for construction purpose as per the Regulation 4.5 of HERC supply Code. Since the construction work is over, the respondent developer is required to obtain permanent connection and the temporary connection was to be removed within three months after the offer of possession of the building. But the developer in collusion with DHBVN is maintaining temporary connection in violation of the provision laid down in the Regulation 4.5.2 due to which the consumers have to pay at exorbitant rate.
4. The Ld. Counsel appeared on behalf of the Respondent No. 4 submitted that the Petitioner has concealed various facts related to its licence and requested the time for submitting their reply. Acceding his request, the Commission granted time till next date of hearing to file their replies.
5. Further, other respondents were also directed to submit their replies before next date of hearing.

6. The matter was thereafter adjourned and next date of hearing in the matter was scheduled on 25/06/2019 at 3:15 P.M.

This Order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 19/06/2019.

<b>Date: 19.06.2019</b>	<b>(Naresh Sardana)</b>	<b>(Pravindra Singh)</b>	<b>(Jagjeet Singh)</b>
<b>Place: Panchkula</b>	<b>Member</b>	<b>Member</b>	<b>Chairman</b>

Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission