

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BAYS No. 33-36, SECTOR-4, PANCHKULA- 134112, HARYANA**

**Case No. HERC/PRO – 4 of 2018**

DATE OF HEARING : 14.12.2018  
DATE OF INRERIM ORDER : 20.12.2018

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

Petition under Regulation 5.5 of Regulation No. HERC/27/2013 [Single Point Supply to Residential Colonies or Office cum Residential Complexes of Employers, Group Housing Societies and Commercial cum Residential Complexes of Developers, Regulations] read with Section 94 of Electricity Act, 2003 for seeking directions to respondent No. 1 & 2 to provide electricity meter & electricity to the petitioner's 82 flats and 2 Shops, as per the provisions of Regulation 5 as prescribed for single point supply.

**Petitioner**

B.E. Office Automation Product Private Limited.

**V/s.**

**Respondents**

- 1.Orris Infrastructure Private Limited, New Delhi
- 2.Villa 33 Maintenance Association, Gurugram
- 3.Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

**PRESENT**

On behalf of the Petitioner Shri Tarun singla, Advocate  
Shri Ravinder Kumar

On behalf of the Respondents Shri Krishan Sheoran, SDO, DHBVN

**QUORUM**

**Shri Jagjeet Singh, Chairman**  
**Shri Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Member**

**INTERIM ORDER**

1. The case was heard on 14.12.2018, as scheduled.
2. Sh. Tarun Singla, Counsel for Petitioner took the Commission through the background of case and requested for relief as per his prayer made in the Petition for direction to the Respondent M/s Orris Infrastructure Private Limited, New Delhi for supply of power to his 82 Number flats and other NDS connections in this area of development / colony and not

to insist for exorbitant charges for supply of electricity from the Petitioner.

3. The Counsel for Respondent No. 1 while seeking an adjournment, submitted that Petitioner has filed a case in same matter in other court of law and requested the Commission to afford an opportunity to submit the status of the case which is pending before the other court
4. The Petitioner Counsel argued that the case pending in the other court is not in this context and again requested the Hon'ble Commission to pass an Order directing the Respondent No. 1 to supply electricity to his flats and other shops etc. in the township and not to charge the unjustified cost on this account from the petitioner.
5. However, the Respondent's Counsel submitted that the amount for electricity connection being asked by him as per law is legal as the Petitioner being co-developer has to share the cost for taking electric connection from the licensee.
6. The Commission directed the Respondent No.1 to file an affidavit by 19<sup>th</sup> of December that the amount being levied the Petitioner for supply of power is as per the regulation of the Commission. The matter is fixed for hearing on 19.12.2018 at 4:00 P.M.

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 20.12.2018.

**Date: 20.12.2018**

**Place: Panchkula**

**(Pravindra Singh Chauhan)**

**Member**

**(Jagjeet Singh)**

**Chairman**